To: Rudy, Michael[Rudy.Mike@epa.gov]; McCaffrey, Shawn[Mccaffrey.Shawn@epa.gov]

From: Urdiales, Aaron

Sent: Mon 8/17/2015 1:38:15 PM

Subject: FW: Re: PRIORITY 1 Congressional Requests. Responses Needed ASAP

Mike and Shawn,

Please see the email we sent below on Friday evening. The table includes all of the SDMS documents that we collected that evening. I believe the first two for access were the only documents taken from your current spreadsheet/inventory. Please add the remaining documents to the current spreadsheet that you have put together for future requests. I will send you an invite to the OneDrive folder. Thanks for all your help with this.

Aaron Urdiales

RCRA/CERCLA Technical Enforcement Program

US EPA Region 8, ENF-RC

1595 Wynkoop St

Denver, CO 80202

303-312-6844

From: Urdiales, Aaron

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 7:49 PM

To: Russo, Rebecca

Cc: Stavnes, Sandra; Madigan, Andrea; Land, Kelcey; Sisk, Richard; Bohan, Suzanne; Piggott, Amelia; Williams, Laura; Ostrander, David; EOC Liaison; Acevedo, Janie; Levine, Carolyn;

Smith, Paula; Card, Joan

Subject: Re: PRIORITY 1 Congressional Requests. Responses Needed ASAP

Rebecca,

Due to the size of the documents requested, we had to upload the documents into a OneDrive

folder, to which I provided you access (I can grant access as required). A separate email will be sent directly from the OneDrive folder directing you to the documents. Once you're in the folder, you will be able to download the documents and send forward as appropriate.

ECEJ identified the documents requested that are pertinent to ECEJ (marked in Yellow), and can review documents EPR provides in response to other questions. ECEJ can also review any narrative answers drafted (e.g. responses to Requests # 35, 16, or 1).

The spreadsheet below has the SDMS numbers added for identification of the ECEJ documents. All request documents marked in Yellow have been placed in the OneDrive folder. Please let us know if you have any problems downloading the documents from the OneDrive site.

Priority 1 requests made to Region 8 ECEJ/EPR:

Requ	est				Related to Request		
#	General Subject(s)	RequestdOC	Question	#	SDMS #	Note	
		From House C.	Access agreement with		12022 Public releas	cally able - Final	
9	Access Agreement		nowner of Gold King mine	34	1287541		
					15592 09 ablically releasable - Final		
					1202212	aoie Tinai	
					1259890		
					1185920		
			Access agreement between EPA and the owner of the	ı	1185413		
		Senator Boxer/ Senate C.	Gold King Mine (San Juan Corp./Todd Hennis); any other agreements with San Juan Corp. or the previous	n	1259884		
					1259886		
34	Access Agreement		nowner, Gold King Mines Corp., concerning the Gold	9	1259883		

King Mine

10	Consent Decree	From House C. 1996 consent decree with E&C Levinpredecessor of Kinross 38 Committee	Publically releasable - Final
38	Consent Decree	Senator 1996 Consent Decree Boxer/ between Sunnyside Gold Senate C. Corp. and State of EPW Levin©olorado Water Quality 10 Committee Division concerning the Sunnyside Mine Planning documents relating to the work done From at the Gold King mine and	1136165 Publically releasable - Final 1136165
7	Planning Documents	House C. any related investigation E&C Levinwork at mines in the Committee Upper Animus Mining District	• □ □ □ □ □ □ These documents are attorney work product – release should be coordinated through HQ and OGC. • □ □ □ □ □ □ Two of the search reports are in our record 1259236 were produced by BLM. 1185085
11	PRPs	From House C. Specifically identify all E&C LevinPRPs at the site Committee	1559216 1054942
16	Removal	Explain the relationship From between the work at the House C. Red and Bonita mine site E&C Levinæmoval action and the 1	

	Action	Commits From	tee	Gold King mine.
6	Risk Assessment	House E&C Commit		Risk assessment (or any melated documents) for the Gold King mine Confirm whether the work opening up Portal #7 at the
35	Time-critical	Senator Boxer/ Senate EPW Committ		Gold King Mine was part of the time-critical removal action at the Red & Bonita Mines, and was being done under the 9/24/14 TCRAM, whether there was a separate TCRAM for the Gold Mines, or whether EPA considered the work as part of the ongoing investigation of the Upper Animas Mining District site. HQ-EOC sent me the attached R8 fact sheet and funding authority memo. 1. I received an inquiry
1	Time-Critical Removal Action	E&C Committ		from HEC Committee staff asking why it was a "time-critical" removal action if we've been investigating at the site since the early \$1000\cdots 2\$. Also, needs additional information on whether the "time-critical" portion refers to just Red Bonita or Gold King Mine also.
8	Engineering Report / Time- Critical	From House -E&C Commit		Engineering report for the Gold King mine (if not time-critical action)

Aaron Urdiales

RCRA/CERCLA Technical Enforcement Program

US EPA Region 8, ENF-RC

1595 Wynkoop St

Denver, CO 80202

303-312-6844